

America's

FUTURE

A Weekly Review of News, Books and Public Affairs

Volume 3

October 13, 1981

Number 41

An Either-Or Question	1
In the Shadows	2
Serious Indictment	4
To Survive and to Win . . . Book Review	5
America's Future Radio Program	7
Bad Example	7

AN EITHER-OR QUESTION

Physicians talk about something called the 'will to live' among individuals. Is there also a 'will to live' in a nation which can, at some point in history, be lost? This is a question now being asked about the United States. We would like to suggest that it is not the American people who have lost their will to live, but that certain of their leaders fail to comprehend the essentials of strength, firmness, honor and integrity in a nation's policy. These thoughts are

occasioned by the activities now taking place in and around the United Nations in New York. Once more we are being subjected to a renewed and stepped-up drive for the admission of Communist China to the United Nations. Once she is admitted, of course, the next drive will be to gain American recognition of this regime of murderers and bandits who hold the mainland Chinese in slavery.

It is not strange that the majority of communist, socialist and half-savage

countries in the UN desire Mao Tse-tung's murderous company in place of the strongly anti-communist free Chinese on Formosa. What is strange is the spinelessness of our administration leaders in the face of this challenge. And have you noticed the same old pattern of a concerted propaganda drive on our minds? Suddenly, as though a button had been pressed, a whole batch of books appears designed to soften us up on Red China. Inevitably, they get much space and glowing notices in all the 'liberal' journals. Fortunately, there is an antidote to this poison. You won't find much about them in most review journals, but there are available numerous books by real authorities telling the truth about Red China - books like Hunter's *Black Book on Red China*,

Labin's *The Anthill*, Tung's *Secret Diary from Red China*, Munson's story-in-pictures of Quemoy called *Who Will Volunteer*, Lee's delightful novel *Cripple Mah and the New Order* and a number of others.

In any case, we have at last reached a decision where we can demonstrate effectively that we have not lost our will to live - that we have no intention of remaining in, and supporting, a trouble-making, socialist-dominated so-called world organization which can spit on the words in its own charter by welcoming the slave-masters of China into its midst. As Admiral Arthur W. Radford said in the *Reader's Digest*, this is an either-or-question. The United Nations must be told that 'they can have either Red China or the United States as a member, but not both.'

IN THE SHADOWS

In the eyes of many observers, the time has come - regardless of whether or not Red China gets a foothold in the UN - for the United States to get out of the United Nations. Our involvement in that body not only prevents us from running our foreign policy in our own interest

and in the interest of free men everywhere, but it actually poses a serious threat to the internal security and institutions of the United States. This is because the United Nations consists of a great deal more than merely its Security Council and General Assembly. These

America's

FUTURE

Published every week by America's Future, Inc., 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York. A non-profit, educational organization.

R. K. Scott Publisher
 Rosalie M. Gordon Editor
 John C. Wetzel Business Manager

Subscription Price: \$5 per year, \$12 for three years. Ten week trial subscription \$1. Additional copies of specific issues: 1 copy for 15¢; 19 copies for \$1; 50 for \$4; 100 for \$6; 1,000 for \$30 — each price for bulk mailing to one person.

Second class mail privileges authorized at New Rochelle, New York.

get all the newspaper publicity, but back in the shadows there operate a number of UN alphabetical agencies where the real dirty work is done. Among them is one known as UNESCO — the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

Recently we called your attention to some hard-hitting remarks by Dr. Max Rafferty, a superintendent of schools, about the downgrading of patriotism among American school children. The United Nations organization called UNESCO has had a great deal to do with this insidious trend. UNESCO issues a series of booklets with which it has flooded American public schools. They are

beamed particularly at kindergarten and grade-school teachers. You can surround this interference of an international body in American schools with all the beautiful words at your command, but what it boils down to in plain English is an attack on the minds of American youngsters. The purpose of the attack? To turn them away from parental influence, from the teachings of their churches and temples, from loyalty and a patriotic pride in America and American institutions and traditions. The idea is to make them what is called 'world-minded' — to kill any feeling of national pride. They are to be taught not to be good American citizens, but citizens of some kind of world government dreamed up by the UNESCO bureaucrats.

This may sound like a strong statement, but one of these UNESCO booklets instructs American teachers not to use any words or pictures that might make children feel a special love for the United States. They must work to counteract family influences because, says UNESCO, 'it is frequently the family that infects the child with extreme nationalism.' The teachers are told to 'correct

many of the errors of home training' so as to prepare a child 'for membership in a group...the first of many such social identifications

that he must achieve on his way' - to where? To becoming a free American citizen? No, 'on his way to membership in the world society.'

SERIOUS INDICTMENT

In case you think we are seeing bogeymen in these more or less hidden United Nations activities, an event occurred recently which, as nearly as we can discover, got practically no notice in the public press. A gentleman in Washington, D.C., Mr. John M. Larson, wrote a letter to Secretary of State Rusk. Mr. Larson was a member of the U.S. National Commission for UNESCO. This commission acts in an advisory capacity to our government and our delegations on matters concerning UNESCO. The purpose of Mr. Larson's letter was to submit his resignation from this UNESCO commission. In resigning, he gave his reasons - reasons which should cause every American to stop and think about our involvement in the United Nations and its alphabetical offshoots. Mr. Larson talked about the sort of literature issued by UNESCO to influence our minds. He said it 'had very little substance, and what little it does have appears overtly or

covertly to be slanted away from the spiritual and political beliefs and traditions of the United States of America toward the sterile conceptions of a nebulous one-world government or federation which is built upon atheistic foundations.'

According to Mr. Larson, much of this UNESCO propaganda is 'equated with responsibility and loyalty' - to what? 'To a world community conceived in the spirit of the charter of the United Nations.' And that UNESCO's purpose is not educational, as it claims, but is sheer propaganda is seen in the fact that Mr. Larson found, as he says, 'grave errors of omission and distortions of perspective with respect to historical trends and events and to the growth and development of certain ideas.' He noted that this UN agency plays upon the desire of peoples for a peaceful solution of their conflicts. It pretends to supply a foundation

for these hopes, but 'when its claims are investigated they are found to be empty as well as a convenient cover for its real activities.' Then Mr. Larson laid it on the line: 'The foundations of UNESCO are atheistic and materialistic... Rather than being genuinely concerned with the intellectual and moral development of men through education, UNESCO makes cynical use of those whom it professes to be serving and helping.'

We are sure you will agree that this is a very thoughtful and serious indictment of this UN agency from a man who spent two years on the inside of its activities. And UNESCO's activities are another sound reason, among numerous others, why so many Americans are coming to believe that we should quit milking the American taxpayer for the support of the United Nations, and disentangle ourselves completely from this harmful alliance.

Foregoing items covered in Mutual network broadcast 10/8/61

Book Review

TO SURVIVE AND TO WIN

A FORWARD STRATEGY FOR AMERICA by *Strausz-Hupé, Kintner & Possony*, 451 pages, Harper, N.Y., \$5.95.

How long, ask the authors of A FORWARD STRATEGY FOR AMERICA, must the free world fight to maintain only the status quo vis à vis international communism?

The Soviet Union, not America or the free world, will decide whether we shall have war. The Soviet Union holds the initiative in this, because it is the aggressor. It will in time envelop us unless we come to grips with Soviet strategy, unless we

begin putting the Soviets on the defensive by moving into the 'gray zones' of conflict—in short, unless we engage and work toward victory in the 'protracted conflict.'

This is the conclusion of this work's authors — Robert Strausz-Hupé, William R. Kintner, and Stefan T. Possony — all scholars of the first order. Mr. Strausz-Hupé is director of the Foreign Policy Research Institute of the University of Pennsylvania and editor of *Orbis*, foreign affairs journal of the Institute. Mr. Kintner is a member of the Institute. So

is Mr. Possony, who is professor of international politics at Georgetown University.

Two years ago Messrs. Strausz-Hupé and Kintner collaborated with two others on *Protracted Conflict*, a penetrating and brilliant study of communist strategy. The current book tells how we must combat international communism as well as work for its ultimate destruction.

The authors conclude that the communists have gained and continue to win the Third World War. The Soviets have made heavy inroads upon the free world without resorting to weapons. Why? The authors believe it is because our policy has not been sufficiently geared to cope with the Soviet strategy of protracted conflict. What is protracted conflict? Mao Tse-tung distilled the essence of the strategy into 16 words: 'The enemy advances, we retreat; enemy halts, we harass; enemy tires, we attack; enemy retreats, we pursue.'

'The reality of our age,' the authors note, 'is...that the most important battle may not be fought by exchanges of nuclear firepower, but, like the conflicts of the 16th and 17th centuries, will consist of maneuvers and diversions

designed to achieve a decisive advantage by one side or the other.'

If war should come, the authors believe, the use of nuclear weapons may not be possible. To win we must take all measures necessary, no matter how costly, to survive an aggressor's attack. We must resume nuclear testing for a deterrent. We must improve our missile defenses. We must develop para-military forces (guerrilla warfare forces) so that the United States will have the capability to fight communism everywhere.

The United States is capable of financing its own survival and surviving the technological race with the Soviets. Taxation, of course, is necessary, but the authors note this should be achieved without destroying our federal system and converting our citadel of liberty into a Russian state.

Technological advances, military preparedness, economic soundness, diplomatic aggressiveness - all these are essential parts of the Forward Strategy spelled out by the authors. But as they state in the foreword and regularly throughout the book, these depend on the deter-

mination of the citizenry - their will to win.

We must shake off the apathy that tends to afflict those in Western Europe and elsewhere who think they are at peace while the enemy moves

steadily to destroy them. We must realize that the communists are winning the Third World War because they know they are in it.

- Robert Ritchie
in the *NEW GUARD*

AMERICA FUTURE'S RADIO PROGRAM

The AMERICA'S FUTURE weekly radio program - a 15-minute commentary, with R.K. Scott as the *Voice of America's Future*, is broadcast nationally over the facilities of the Mutual Broadcasting System. This vital and interesting program can now be heard in full by listeners in the New York metropolitan area, Long Island, Connecticut and New Jersey over the new New York affiliate of MBS. The station is WINS at 1010 on your radio dial; the time: Sunday evenings at 10:30 P.M. Listeners in other parts of the country should check their local Mutual stations for day and time of broadcast. Or we will be glad to supply a complete station-list to anyone who requests it.

BAD EXAMPLE

"A seven-year-old youth who lives in Chicago took between \$300 and \$400 belonging to his mother, or, in other words,

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC., 542 Main St., New Rochelle, N.Y.

I understand you fill orders for books at regular list price, postage paid. Please mail me copies of the book noted below.

My check for \$..... is enclosed ☐ Please bill me ☐.

Book I want is

NAME

Address Date

other people's money. He then set out on a giveaway tour on which he donated various sums to various acquaintances without real regard for either their need or their ability to make proper use of the funds.

"When asked why he had done the Lord Bountiful act, the youngster answered: 'I just wanted to make friends.' Obviously the child had been over-persuaded by the example of Uncle Samuel in Washington, who has been and is following the same routine on a worldwide basis."

- Memphis (Tenn.) COMMERCIAL-APPEAL.

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC.
542 Main St. New Rochelle, N.Y.

Second-Class Postage
Paid at New Rochelle,
New York

